

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/576,188	05/23/2000	Etsuji Tagami	10873.533US01	10873.533US01 3963	
23552	7590 02/17/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
MERCHANT & GOULD PC P.O. BOX 2903			HODGES, MATTHEW P		
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-0903			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2879		

DATE MAILED: 02/17/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
A deiname Antion	09/576,188	TAGAMI ET AL.					
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Matt P Hodges	2879	and				
Th MAILING DATE of this communication app ars on the cover sh et with th corr spond nc address							
THE REPLY FILED 18 December 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appearamination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applice 1) a timely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper rep ch places the applic	oly to a ation in				
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later th ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dath have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three moderned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the AM SIX MONTHS from the mailing date on FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE terms of the petition under 37 CFR 1.5 sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. S I 36(a) and the appropriate e fee. The appropriate exte the final Office action; or (	ee MPEP extension fee ension fee under (2) as set forth in				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF			•				
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:						
(a) X they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search (	(see NOTE below);					
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note by	pelow);						
(c)  they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or s	implifying the				
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ing a corresponding number of	finally rejected clain	ns.				
NOTE: See Attached Sheet for Explanation.							
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	etion(s):						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed	amendment				
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request fo application in condition for allowance because:		sidered but does NC	T place the				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which wer	re newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims w			and an				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected:							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	roved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.					
9.⊠ Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme							

10. Other: \_\_\_\_

Art Unit: 2879

**DETAILED ACTION** 

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 12/18/2003 have been fully considered but they are not

persuasive.

New claim 6 would require an additional search as additional permutations have resulted

in the combination of new limitations and previously addressed limitations. Specifically, for

example, the additional limitation of a diode parallel circuit being connected in series to the

pincushion distortion circuit are not anticipated by the previous actions.

**Contact Information** 

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Matt P Hodges whose telephone number is (571) 272-2454. The

examiner can normally be reached on 7:30 AM to 4:00 PM M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Nimesh Patel can be reached on (571) 272-2457. The fax phone numbers for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-7382 for regular

communications and (703) 308-7382 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

mph MA

NIMESHKUMAR D. PATEL
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800